

Managing California's grassland ecosystems for *Athene cunicularia hypugaea*

SCOTT W. ARTIS, *Founding Director, Burrowing Owl Conservation Network, P.O. Box 128, Brentwood, CA 94513; SCOTT@BURROWING-OWL.ORG*

Burrowing owls (*Athene cunicularia*) are grassland specialists that occupy shrub, grassland, and desert habitats and are increasingly found in human-modified environments and urban open spaces (Rosenberg et al. 2007; Wilkerson and Siegel 2010). Nesting, roosting, and foraging primarily occur on landscapes characterized by short, low-density vegetation, conditions historically satisfied by the presence of native perennial grasses (Klute et al. 2003; Rosenberg et al. 2009). Although there are two subspecies found in North America, only the western burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia hypugaea*), hereinafter referred to as burrowing owl, inhabits California (Shuford and Gardali 2008).

Considered the most historically important habitat for burrowing owls in the state (Rosenberg et al. 2007), California native grasslands have been described as one of the most endangered ecosystems in the United States (Noss et al. 1995). They have been reduced from 22 million acres to 2 million acres since the 1700s (Stromberg and Kephart 1996).

Because this small grassland raptor does not generally dig its own burrow, the burrowing owl is typically found in close proximity to colonizing fossorial (burrowing) mammals such as the California ground squirrel (*Spermophilus beecheyi*), but burrowing owls also capitalize on the abandoned burrows of foxes, coyotes, and badgers (Rosenberg et al. 2007; Trulio 1995).

With the advent of intense agricultural processes, urbanization, and widespread control and eradication of burrowing mammals over the last 150 years (Trulio 1995), the burrowing owl, North America's only raptor to nest underground (Trulio and Chromczak 2007), has witnessed significant declines as historic critical habitats have been converted for anthropogenic



Western burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia hypugaea*) in Antioch, CA

Photo: Heather Artis

purposes (Trulio 1995; Wilkerson and Siegel 2010). The burrowing owl is a California Species of Special Concern (as designated by the California Department of Fish and Game), and recent surveys have shown a 36 percent population decline in the Imperial Valley (Imperial Irrigation District 2010) and a 28 percent decline in the San Francisco Bay–Delta region (Wilkerson and Siegel 2010).

A critical component of managing grasslands for burrowing owls is the availability of natural burrows (Dechant et al. 1999). As such, restoring, maintaining, and increasing populations of fossorial mammals through translocations, reductions in lethal control measures, and landowner education programs are required (Klute et al. 2003). In cases where burrowing mammals cannot be reestablished, artificial burrows may be used as a means to increase burrowing owls on human-disturbed sites (Barclay 2008; Trulio 1995).

Ultimately, the preservation and restoration of native grassland communities are essential for the conservation of the species

(Klute et al. 2003). Although large, contiguous grasslands are recommended as a means for sustaining the state's population (Klute et al. 2003), small, fragmented parcels maintained as suitable burrowing owl habitat have proven successful in supporting local urban colonies (Artis, pers. comm. 2010).

Considering that less than 10 percent of native perennial grasslands remain in California (Dell et al. 2007), historic burrowing owl habitat is now predominantly comprised of nonnative vegetation that grows taller than native vegetation species (Menke 1992; D'Antonio et al. 2000; Solomeshch and Barbour 2006; Rosenberg et al. 2009) and is rendering this habitat unsuitable (Rosenberg et al. 2009). These dense, exotic grasses restrict nesting and foraging abilities of resident and migratory burrowing owls (Dechant et al. 1999; Rosenberg et al. 2009).

However, nonnative dominated grassland systems can be maintained as suitable short-grass habitat structures through

BURROWING OWLS, continued on page 5

mowing, grazing, and prescribed burning (Menke 1992; Rosenberg et al. 2009). If properly managed, these strategies can even ease the competitive edge of exotic flora and thus aid the return of native grasses (Menke 1992; Rosenberg et al. 2009). Mowing has been used as a tool to control the height, growth, and seed production of grasses for burrowing owl conservation (Dechant et al. 1999; Klute et al. 2003; Rosenberg et al. 2009). Depending on the dominant grass species, strategically timed mowing can be implemented when grasses have flowered but not yet produced seeds (Menke 1992). Mowing throughout the February 1 to August 31 breeding season (CDFG 1995) with some restrictions to coincide with the typical emergence of chicks in May through June, has proven effective in enhancing nest sites and has shown no apparent adverse effects to burrowing owls and their chicks (Rosenberg et al. 2009).

Prescribed burning prior to the dropping of seeds has been used as a method to control nonnative grasses and more recently explored as a means to maintain suitable burrowing owl habitat (USFWS 2004; Rosenberg et al. 2009). Late spring prescribed burnings reduce exotic annual plant seed production, seedbank size, and annual plant density and increase the establishment of perennial grass seedlings (Menke 1992). According to Rosenberg et al. (2009), there were no detected negative effects to burrowing owls and chicks, and habitat appeared to have improved as a result of prescribed burning in regard to density of native grasses and biodiversity. The U.S. Geological Survey reported similar observations in Oregon, with burrowing owls nesting in previously unused habitat recently subjected to burning (Dechant et al. 1999). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service found a reduction in nonnative annual grass cover and creation of high-quality nesting and foraging habitat in Alameda County, California (USFWS 2004).

Grazing has also been a viable management technique in the creation and restoration of burrowing owl habitat (Dechant et al. 1999; Klute et al. 2003; Rosenberg et al. 2009). Excessively grazed grasslands result in a low vegetative structure that increases visibility and provides high-quality foraging and nesting habitat for burrowing owls (Dechant et al. 1999; Rosenberg et al. 2009). When performed during the dormant season, prescribed, infrequent, high-intensity spring or summer grazing restores and increases the abundance of native grasses through the removal of thatch and dead stem bases, and promotes nutrient recycling as trampling by ungulates increases the rate by which plant material comes into contact with decomposers (Menke 1992). Burrowing owls prefer grazed grasslands (Dechant et al. 1999; Klute et al. 2003), and such systems have supported high-density owl populations in the San Joaquin Valley (Rosenberg et al. 2009).

Mowing does not eliminate dry plant material that may shade or limit emerging tillers and native plants (Menke 1992; Rosenberg et al. 2009); frequent grazing can result in habitat degradation (Dell et al. 2007); and prescribed burning has inherent risks and is the most costly tool for grassland habitat management (USFWS 2004). Therefore, combining strategies to maintain and restore burrowing owl habitat may prove useful.

Carefully grazed and mowed native- and exotic plant-dominated grasslands have provided optimal breeding and foraging habitat across the burrowing owl's range, including California, Colorado, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming (Dechant et al. 1999).

Literature Cited

Artis, H. 2010. Personal communication.
Barclay, J.H. 2008. A simple artificial burrow design for burrowing owls. *Journal of Raptor Research* 42(1):53–57.
California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 1995. California Department of Fish and Game Staff Report on Burrowing

Owl Mitigation, Appendix F. Sacramento.
Dechant, J.A., M.L. Sondreal, D.H. Johnson, L.D. Igl, C.M. Goldade, P.A. Rabie, and B.R. Euliss. 1999 (revised 2002). Effects of management practices on grassland birds: Burrowing Owl. Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center. Jamestown, ND.
Dell, R.E., S.L. Young, and V.P. Claassen. 2007. Native roadside perennial grasses persist a decade after planting in the Sacramento Valley. *California Agriculture* 61(2):79–84.
Imperial Irrigation District. 2010. 2009 Annual Report of the Imperial Irrigation District Pursuant to SWRCB revised Order WRO 2002-013. Imperial Valley, CA.
Klute, D.S., L.W. Ayers, M.T. Green, W.H. Howe, S.L. Jones, J.A. Shaffer, S.R. Sheffield, and T.S. Zimmerman. 2003. Status Assessment and Conservation Plan for the Western Burrowing Owl in the United States. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Technical Publication FWS/BTP-R6001-2003. Washington, DC.
Menke, J.W. 1992. Grazing and fire management for native perennial grass restoration in California grasslands. *Fremontia* 20(2):22–25.
Noss, R.F., E.T. Laroe, and J.M. Scott. 1995. Endangered ecosystems of the United States: A Preliminary Assessment of Loss and Degradation. U.S. Department of the Interior, National Biological Service Biological Report 28, Washington, DC.
Rosenberg, D.K., L.A. Trulio, D. Catlin, D. Chromczack, J.A. Gervais, N. Ronan, and K.A. Haley. 2007. The Ecology of the Burrowing Owl in California. Unpubl. report to Bureau of Land Management, Bakersfield.
Rosenberg, D.K., J.A. Gervais, D.F. DeSante, and H. Ober. 2009. An Updated Adaptive Management Plan for the Burrowing Owl Population at NAS Lemoore. The Oregon Wildlife Institute, Corvallis, OR, and The Institute for Bird Populations, Point Reyes Station, CA. OWI Contribution No. 201 and IBP Contribution No. 375.
Shuford, W.D., and T. Gardali, Eds. 2008. California Bird Species of Special Concern: A ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate conservation concern in California. *Studies of Western Birds* 1. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
Stromberg, M.R., and P. Kephart. 1996. Restoring native grasses in California old fields. *Restoration and Management Notes* 14(2):102–11.

BURROWING OWLS, continued on page 12

CNGA Research Committee

BARBARA GOING, CNGA Board Member

At the heart of grassland conservation and restoration is an understanding of how this important ecosystem functions. The mission of the Research Committee is to serve as a nexus between land managers and academia to facilitate active research and to broadly disseminate knowledge gained from this research.

Our goals are to identify critical gaps in our understanding of grassland ecosystem function, restoration, and management and to direct new research in these areas by connecting researchers and students with project ideas and resources.

We also aim to cultivate a deeper understanding and appreciation of grasslands by producing summaries of current research, which will be accessible on our website and in *Grasslands*.

We are building a list of preserves and other potential California research sites, as well as a list of academic programs focused on grasslands, including ecology, restoration, and rangeland management.

If you have information on preserves or programs, or would just like to help out, please send a message to: RESEARCH@CNGA.ORG. We also welcome suggestions for additional ways the Research Committee can achieve its mission!

BURROWING OWLS, from page 5

Trulio, L. A. 1995. Passive relocation: A method to preserve burrowing owls on disturbed sites. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 66(1):99–106.

Trulio, L.A., and D.A. Chromczak. 2007. Burrowing owl nesting success at urban and parkland sites in northern California, pp. 115–122 in J.H. Barclay, K.W. Hunting, J.L. Lincer, J. Linthicum, and T.A. Roberts, eds., *Proceedings of the California Burrowing Owl Symposium*, Nov, 2003. *Bird*

Populations Monographs No. 1. Institute for Bird Populations and Albion Environmental, Inc., Point Reyes Station, CA.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2004. Final Environmental Assessment for Seasonal Grazing, Prescribed Burning, Mowing, and Herbicide Application on the Warm Springs Seasonal Wetland Unit of the Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Alameda County, California. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Newark, CA.

Wilkerson, R.L. and R.B. Siegel. 2010. Assessing changes in the distribution and abundance of burrowing owls in California, 1993–2007. *Bird Populations* 10:1–36.

Over the last 10 years Scott Artis has been in the field of molecular and cellular biology at the research, application, and management levels. Since 2008 he has been actively advocating and working for the conservation of western burrowing owls in California. Scott holds degrees in microbiology, molecular biology, and fisheries and wildlife science, has a masters certificate in environmental resource management, and is pursuing a masters degree in environmental science and policy.

Coming ...
Spring 2012

Sonoma
Grasslands
Symposium

CNGA's next
big event!

Keep it on your radar
screen—you don't
want to miss this one!

CNGA's BUNCHGRASS CIRCLE

Corporate Members

Muhlenbergia rigens level (\$1,000/yr)

Hedgerow Farms

Nassella pulchra level (\$500/yr)

Delta Bluegrass Company

Hanford Applied Restoration & Conservation

Pacific Coast Seed

S & S Seeds

Associate Members (\$125/yr)

American River Parkway Foundation

Andrea Cochran Landscape Architecture

Audubon Canyon Ranch

Bureau of Land Management

City of Davis Environmental Resources

Contra Costa Water District

Erdman Farms

Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District

Hollister Ranch

Irvine Ranch Conservancy

Los Angeles Chapter, California Native Plant Society

Oak Creek Energy Systems

Orinda Horsemen's Association

Ransom Seed Laboratory

Poa secunda level (\$250/yr)

Bay-Friendly Landscaping & Gardening

Carducci & Associates, Inc.

Elkhorn Native Plant Nursery

Restoration Resources

Sun City Lincoln Hills Community Association

Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District

San Luis National Wildlife Refuge

Santa Lucia Conservancy

Selby's Erosion Control

Solano County Water Agency

Sonoma County Ag Preservation & Open Space

Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge

Thomas Klope Associates

Truax Company, Inc.

Westervelt Ecological Services

Wildlands, Inc.

Yolo County Resource Conservation District

Zentner and Zentner